

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY



3 1761 10084118 8

MATERIALS ON PROPERTY

Part I

D. Mendes da Costa
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1977/78 EDITION

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario

LAW LIBRARY

SEP 19 1977

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

MATERIALS ON PROPERTY

PART I

D. Mendes da Costa
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

1977-78 EDITION

Faculty of Law
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

C O N T E N T S - P A R T 1

PERSONAL PROPERTY

PREFACE

CHAPTER 1

Section 1 INTRODUCTION

Problem	1.1.1
Tucker v. Farm and General Investment Trust Ltd.	1.1.2
Problem	1.1.4
The Human Tissue Gift Act	1.1.5
Edmonds v. Armstrong Funeral Home Ltd.	1.1.9
Problem	1.1.12

Section 2 THE MEANING OF PROPERTY

Rapistan Canada Ltd. v. Minister of National Revenue	1.2.1
Note	1.2.3
International News Service v. The Associated Press	1.2.4
Desny v. Wilder	1.2.11
Victoria Park Racing and Recreation Grounds Company Limited v. Taylor	1.2.14

Section 3 PROPERTY - A CONCEPT OF LAW

Rex v. MacEwen	1.3.1
Note	1.3.3

Section 4 THE RIGHT OF PRIVACY

Krouse v. Chrysler Canada Ltd.	1.4.1
Note	1.4.3
The Privacy Act	1.4.4

Section 5 DISTINCTION BETWEEN REAL AND PERSONAL PROPERTY

Aigler, Smith and Tefft, Cases on Property	1.5.1
--	-------

CHAPTER II

THE ELEMENTS OF POSSESSION

Section 1 ACQUISITION OF POSSESSION OF THINGS ABANDONED OR NOT FORMERLY OWNED

Pierson v. Post	2.1.1
Casner and Leach, Cases and Text on Property	2.1.3
State of Ohio v. Shaw	2.1.4

Section 2 HOW LONG POSSESSION ONCE ACQUIRED WILL BE DEEMED TO CONTINUE

Campbell v. Hedley	2.2.1
Ghen v. Rich	2.2.7
Holmes, The Common Law	2.2.9
M.V. "Polar Star" et al. v. Arsenault et al.	2.2.10

Section 3 OWNERSHIP IN RELATION TO POSSESSION

Wilson v. Lombank Ltd.	2.3.1
United States v. McClain	2.3.4

CHAPTER IIIPOSSESSION AND THE FINDING CASES

Problem	3.1.1
 <u>Section 1</u> <u>ELEMENTS OF FINDING</u>	
Eads et al. v. Brazelton	3.1.2
The Tubantia	3.1.5
Keron v. Cashman	3.1.9
Edmonds v. Ronella	3.1.10
 <u>Section 2</u> <u>RIGHTS OF FINDER AGAINST OTHER CLAIMANTS</u>	
Armory v. Delamirie	3.2.1
Regina v. Shymkovich	3.2.2
The Criminal Code	3.2.5
Grafstein v. Holme and Freeman	3.2.7
Note	3.2.15
White v. Alton-Lewis Ltd. et al.	3.2.16
Kowal v. Ellis	3.2.21
Note	3.2.23
Haynen v. Mundle	3.2.24
Buell v. Foley	3.2.24
The Toronto Daily Star	3.2.25
Moffatt and another v. Kazana	3.2.26
H.E. Dibble Ltd. v. Moore West, Third Party	3.2.30
The Law of Property Act, 1925	3.2.31
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	3.2.31
 <u>Section 3</u> <u>RIGHTS AND DUTIES OF FINDER IN RELATION TO OWNER</u>	
Isaack v. Clark	3.3.1
Nicholson v. Chapman	3.2.1
Meekins v. Simpson	3.3.3
United States v. Taglione	3.3.4
The Railways Act	3.3.5
Problems	3.3.6

CHAPTER IVEXTINGUISHMENT OF TITLE

Problem	4.1.1
 <u>Section 1</u> <u>THE PERIOD OF LIMITATION</u>	
Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) pages 9-10	4.1.2
The Limitations Act	4.1.2
Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) page 35	4.1.3
Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) pages 41-42	4.1.3
 <u>Section 2</u> <u>EXTINGUISHMENT OF RIGHT</u>	
Statute of Limitations	4.2.1
Chapin et al. v. Freeland	4.2.1
Priester v. Milleman	4.2.3
Note	4.2.5
St. Vladimir College and Minor Seminary v. Champs Take Home Ltd.	4.2.5

Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) pages 126-133	4.2.7
Note	4.2.8
Note	4.2.9
Re Karkut and Highway Traffic Board (Sask.) et al.	4.2.10
Note	4.2.11

Section 3 DETINUE AND CONVERSION

Clayton v. LeRoy	4.3.1
Baud Corp. v. Brook	4.3.2
Wilkinson v. Verity	4.3.3
Thomas Brown and Sons Limited v. Fazal Deen and Another	4.3.5

Section 4 ABSENCE OF KNOWLEDGE

Ontario Law Reform Commission, Report on Limitation of Actions (1969) pages 100-101, 108-111	4.4.1
The Limitations Act	4.4.4
Eddis v. Chichester Constable	4.4.5
Problem	4.4.9

CHAPTER V

BAILMENTS

Section 1 INTRODUCTION

Mears v. Sayers	5.1.1
Note	5.1.3

Section 2 NATURE OF AND DISTINCTION BETWEEN BAILMENTS AND SIMILAR TRANSACTIONS

Bata v. City Parking Canada Ltd.	5.2.1
Heffron v. Imperial Parking Co. et al.	5.2.4
Notes	5.2.8
B.G. Transport Service Ltd. v. Marston Motors Co. Ltd.	5.2.9
Allison Concrete Ltd. v. Canadian Pacific Ltd.	5.2.13
Seaspan International Ltd. et al. v. The Ship "Kotis Prois" et al.	5.2.15
Note	5.2.20
Crawford v. Kingston and Johnston	5.2.21
Wiebe v. Lepp	5.2.22
MacDonald v. Whittaker Textiles (Marysville) Ltd.	5.2.24

Section 3 DUTIES OF BAILEES

(a) General

Southcote's Case	5.3.1
Morris v. C.W. Martin & Sons Ltd.	5.3.2

(b) Bailment for Reward

Lawton v. Dartmouth Moving & Storage Ltd., Jossinet v. Dartmouth Moving & Storage Ltd.	5.3.9
---	-------

(c) Gratuitous Bailment

Desjardins v. Theriault	5.3.13
Mansfield Importers and Distributors Ltd. v. Casco Terminals Ltd.	5.3.15
Note	5.3.17

(d) Bailment for Mutual Advantage

Canadian Imperial Bank of Commerce v. Doucette 5.3.18

(e) The Position Where a Bailee Enters
into a Sub-Bailment

Edwards v. Newland & Co.; E. Burchett, L.D., Third Party 5.3.22
 Note 5.3.26
 Mochinski v. North American Van Lines (Canada) Ltd. et al. 5.3.27
 Woods Motors Ltd. v. MacTavish et al. 5.3.28

(f) Temporary Suspension and Subsequent
Revival of Bailment

Valley Auto Wrecking and Demolition Ltd. and Golden Ears
 Motors Ltd. v. Colonial Motors Ltd., Allen, Osborne
 et al. 5.3.30

(g) Common Carrier

Brookins v. Canadian National Railway Co. et al. 5.3.33

(h) Onus

National Trust Co. Ltd. v. Wong Aviation, Ltd. et al. 5.3.36
 Note 5.3.42
 Ware Chemical of Canada Ltd. v. Cosmos Chemical Ltd. 5.3.43
 Canadian National Railway Co. v. Hammill 5.3.44
 United Refrigerator Parts Co. Ltd. v. Consolidated
 Fastfrate Ltd. et al. 5.3.48
 Note 5.3.52
 Allison Concrete Ltd. v. Canadian Pacific Ltd. 5.3.53

Section 4 DUTIES OF BAILORS

Coleshaw v. Lipsett 5.4.1
 MacTague v. Inland Lines Limited 5.4.2

Section 5 EXCULPATORY CLAUSES(a) General

Rutter v. Palmer 5.5.1
 Woolmer v. Delmer Price Ltd. 5.5.3

(b) Burden of Proof

Hunt & Winterbotham (West of England) Ltd. v. B.R.S.
 (Parcels) Ltd. 5.5.5

(c) Fundamental Breach

Heffron v. Imperial Parking Co. et al. 5.5.13

(d) The Requirement of Adequate
Notice

Samuel Smith & Sons Ltd. v. Silverman 5.5.16
 McCutcheon v. David MacBrayne Ltd. 5.5.19
 Note 5.5.23

(e) Interpretation of Exculpatory
Clauses

Leva v. Lam et al. 5.5.24
 Mendelssohn v. Normand 5.5.25
 Minichiello et al. v. Devonshire Hotel (1967) Ltd. 5.5.28

(f) Statutory Restriction on
Exculpatory Clauses

Evans Products Ltd. v. Crest Warehousing Ltd.	5.5.33
---	--------

Section 6 RIGHT OF BAILEE TO SUE THIRD PERSON

The Winkfield	5.6.1
Thorne v. MacGregor	5.6.6
Associates Discount Corporation v. Gillineau	5.6.8
Note	5.6.9
National Bond & Investment Co. v. Gill	5.6.10
Courtenay v. Knutson	5.6.12

Section 7 RIGHT OF BAILOR TO SUE THIRD PERSON

Donald v. Suckling	5.7.1
Chapman v. Robinson and Ferguson	5.7.3

Section 8 SPECIAL BAILMENTS - INNKEEPERS

Laing v. Allied Innkeepers Ltd.	5.8.1
R. & R. Cunningham Enterprises Ltd. v. Vollmers	5.8.7
Note	5.8.9
Note	5.8.9
Theeman v. Forte Properties Ltd.	5.8.10
The Innkeepers Act	5.8.12

CHAPTER VIGIFTSSection 1 INTRODUCTION

McIntyre v. Royal Trust Co.	6.1.1
Problem	6.1.2

Section 2 GIFTS OF A CHOSE IN POSSESSION

Irons v. Smallpiece	6.2.1
Cochrane v. Moore	6.2.2
Brown, The Law of Personal Property	6.2.8
Thomas v. Times Book Co. Ltd.	6.2.9
Nesbitt v. Chester et al.	6.2.14
In Re Estate of Evans	6.2.18
Kingsmill v. Kingsmill	6.2.22
In Re Cole, A Bankrupt, Ex Parte the Trustee of the Property of the Bankrupt	6.2.25
Note	6.2.31
Dewar v. Dewar	6.2.32
Notes	6.2.36
The Family Law Reform Act	6.2.36
Note	6.2.37
Problem	6.2.38

Section 3 GIFTS OF A CHOSE IN ACTION

Sanderson v. Halstead	6.3.1
The Conveyancing and Law of Property Act	6.3.6
Mears v. Sayers	6.3.6
Kellas et al. v. Chapman	6.3.9
Note	6.3.11

Section 4 DISCLAIMER

Re Paradise Motor Co. Ltd.	6.4.1
----------------------------	-------

CHAPTER VII

GIFTS MORTIS CAUSA

Section 1 CHOSE IN POSSESSION

Thompson v. Mechan	7.1.1
Note	7.1.4
Notes	7.1.5
Fendley v. Laster	7.1.6
Problems	7.1.7
Note	7.1.7

Section 2 CHOSE IN ACTION

Re Kuyat Dreyer and Dreyer v. Official Administrator	7.2.1
Randell's Estate v. Randell et al.	7.2.3
Problem	7.2.5

CHAPTER VIII

FACTORS VITIATING AN OTHERWISE VALID GIFT

Section 1

Crossley Vaines, Personal Property	8.1.1
Note	8.1.1
In re Craig, Meneces v. Middleton	8.1.2
Note	8.1.6
Public Trustee v. Skoretz	8.1.7
Note	8.1.12
Slovchenko v. Toronto-Dominion Bank and Mereshko	8.1.13
Rushford v. Hunchuk	8.1.16
Note	8.1.19
McTaggart v. Boffo	8.1.20
Kudlaciak v. Trela	8.1.24
Note	8.1.31
Stoppel v. Loesner	8.1.32
Note	8.1.33

CHAPTER IX

THE TRUST COMPARED

Section 1 GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

The Statute of Frauds	9.1.1
Note	9.1.1
Richards v. Delbridge	9.1.2
Re Mellen	9.1.4
Davies v. Bonnell	9.1.5
Note	9.1.11
Carson et al. v. Wilson et al.	9.1.12

CHAPTER X

NATURE OF A TRANSACTION - INTER VIVOS OR TESTAMENTARY

Anderson v. Patton	10.1.1
Countway v. Haughan et al.	10.1.4

CHAPTER X

DEEDS OF GIFT

Megarry and Wade, The Law of Real Property	11.1.1
Carson et al. v. Wilson et al.	11.1.2
Dauphinee v. Height et al.	11.1.5
Zwicker v. Dorey	11.1.11
Schoppel v. Royal Trust Company	11.1.12
Land Titles Act	11.1.13

PREFACE

These materials are prepared for teaching purposes only. They are provided only for the purpose of discussion in class. They are to be used for no other purpose. The aim of these materials is to introduce the student to some general principles relating to the Law of Real and Personal Property. Volume 1 deals with some aspects of the Law of Personal Property. Volumes 2 to 5 are concerned with some aspects of the Law of Real Property and also with Leaseholds.

This material does not purport to be comprehensive or exhaustive. Further, it is compiled on the assumption that other topics, including, for example, land use planning, land finance, land development, real estate transactions, community planning, environmental control, and expropriation ~~and~~ dealt with later in the curriculum. These topics are not included within the scope of this Casebook.